

Introduction to steel profiles

Enveloppe Métallique du Bâtiment David Izabel

Informative document in any case the eurocode EN 1993-1-3 and EN 1993-1-5 apply



- Principles of the cold formed elements
- 🕂 Different types of cold formed elements
- Manufacturing process of cold formed elements
- Using cold formed elements in construction
- 🕂 European standards for cold formed elements
- Profiled steel sheetings covered by the GRISPE⁺ project
- 🔶 Methods to design a cold formed element
- + Tests to study the cold formed sheetings
- Collapses and instabilities of cold formed elements
- Mechanical model to take the local flange instability into account

- Mechanical model to take into account the local web instability
- Formula to determine the span bending resistance of the sheeting profile
- Formula to determine the end support reaction and intermediate support reaction
- Formula to determine the interaction between support bending and support reaction
- Formula to determine the shear resistance of a sheeting
- 🕂 Design of liner tray
- 🕂 Design of perforated sheet
- + Deflection
- + Other cases not covered by the EN 1993-1-3
- 🕂 Bibliography

Introduction



The present material complies with the current version of the EN 1993-1-3 and EN 1993-1-5.



The amendments proposed by GRISPE+ are not taken into account (see the other presentations of GRISPE+).



This training is an initiation to the design of cold formed profiles. Some simplifications are provided to help the learner.



Refer to the original Eurocode to have all the clauses that have to be applied.



Take into account the national annex of each Eurocode.

The material does not cover assemblies that also have to be checked (see EN 1993-1-3 and EN 1993-1-8).



Principles of cold formed elements

Principles of the cold formed elements

The principles are as follows :

igstarrow Optimizing the material with thin metal sheet



Providing inertia to the geometric shape of the profiles as in the case of the shell



Source: EMB





Different types of cold formed elements

The two main families of profiles





Manufacturing process of cold formed elements

Manufacturing process of cold formed elements

Profiling machine





Source: EMB

Source: EMB





Using cold formed elements in construction

Using cold formed elements in construction (sheetings)





COVERING





+ Single skin covering

+ Double skin covering



ROOFING





DECKING – COMPOSITE FLOOR





PORTAL FRAMES



Source: EMB



PORTAL FRAME



Source: EN 1993-1-3



European standards for cold formed elements

European standards for raw materials



CE marking of the product - CPR



Design and erection of the systems and buildings



Designing tests and calculations :

- EN 1993-1-3 + corigendum + National annex (general design)
- EN 1993-1-5 + corigendum + National annex (effective width)
- EN 1993-1-8 + corigendum + National annex (assemblies)



• EN 1090-4 (steel products)



Profiled steel sheetings covered by the GRISPE⁺ project

Profiles covered by the GRISPE ⁺ project

Decking with embossments and /or indentations



Decking with outside stiffeners



Profiles covered by the GRISPE *project

+ The liner tray with $s_1 > 1m$ distance



Profiles covered by the GRISPE * project

Corrugated profiles



Fig (6) - Cross section of the profile 46/150

Profiles covered by the GRISPE * project



Profiles covered by the GRISPE + project





THE TESTED ASSEMBLED PROFILES ARE:



Profiles covered by the GRISPE * project



Profiles covered by the GRISPE ⁺ project

+ Holed profiles



Profiles covered by the GRISPE ⁺ project



Profiles requested by architects that want to have façades without visible fasteners



Methods to design a cold formed element

The logigram to study cold formed profiles





Tests to study the cold formed sheetings

The different tests to study the cold formed profiles:

VACUUM CHAMBER:

+ The vacuum chamber test:



+ Test set-up:



Source: GRISPE WP4

The objectives of this test :

- \Rightarrow Effective inertia of the profile : ${\rm I}_{\rm eff}$
- \Rightarrow Bending capacity : M_{c,Rd}



Typical associated collapses: local buckling/dislocation

+ Curve load displacement



Source: GRISPE validation deliverable D 4.7 🕂 Typical failure: dislocation



Source: GRISPE validation deliverable D 4.7





Main collapses:

- Downward load (pressure): buckling of the compressed facing.
- Upward load (suction): dislocation of the profile assembling.
The different tests to study the cold formed profiles:

SINGLE SPAN BENDING TEST



The objectives of this test:

- \Rightarrow Effective inertia of the profile : ${\rm I}_{\rm eff}$
- \Rightarrow Bending capacity : M_{c,Rd}

Typical single span bending test set up



Typical single span bending test set up





Source: GRISPE validation deliverable D 1.8

Test results (case bending in single span tests)



Source: GRISPE validation deliverable D 1.8

+ Ex: Decking profile without embossments



+ Ex: Decking profile with embossments



Source: GRISPE validation deliverable D 1.8



The WP1 example (embossment effects)

Bending resistance	Inertia Moment
3.5% - 10%	1.5% -11%

The different tests to study the cold formed profiles:

INTERMEDIATE SUPPORT TEST



The objectives of this test:

 \Rightarrow Interaction between the bending Moment M_{c,Rd} and R_{w,Rd} \Rightarrow Reaction on the support R_{w,Rd}

Typical intermediate support test set up



Eg. Total perforation trapezoidal profile



Source: GRISPE WP3

Test results (intermediate support case)



Eg. Total perforation decking profile



Source: GRISPE validation deliverable D 3.7



The WP3 example (square pattern perforation effect)

	Moment – Reaction Interaction
Flange perforation	0% - 5%
Web perforation	11% - 19%
Total perforation	35% - 42 %

The different tests to study the cold formed profiles:

END SUPPORT TEST



The objectives of this test:

 \Rightarrow Capacity of the end support reaction R_{w.Rd}





Typical end support test set up



Source: GRISPE validation deliverable D 1.8



Source: GRISPE validation deliverable D 1.8





Source: GRISPE validation deliverable D 1.8

Source: GRISPE validation deliverable D 1.8









Collapses and instabilities of cold formed elements

Different instabilities under compression stresses

🕂 Local buckling

Eg : Corrugated sheet local buckling Eg : Plank profile local buckling





Source: GRISPE WP2

Different instabilities under compression stresses

🕂 Local buckling



Eg : cassette/ liner tray lateral buckling



Source: GRISPE WP2

Different instabilities under compression stresses

+ Local buckling and local impression at an intermediate support

Eg : Continuous trapezoidal profile

Local impression of the web top + web crippling area



Source: GRISPE WP2



Collapse at an intermediate support

+ Local buckling and impression of the support

Eg : Corrugated sheet



Source: GRISPE WP2







Eg : Corrugated sheet



Different instabilities under shear stresses

🕂 Shear collapse

Eg : Corrugated sheet



Source: GRISPE WP2



Different instabilities under shear stresses

🕂 Local web crushing/ web crippling

Eg : assembling continuity on a support => DIN overlapping



Source: GRISPE WP2



Source: GRISPE WP2



Source: GRISPE WP2



+ Web crippling

Eg : Corrugated sheet



Source: GRISPE WP2





🕂 Web crippling

Eg: Plank profiles



Source: GRISPE WP4

Chevron shaped joint (plank 300 reinforced)

Web plank crippling

Eg: trapezoidal profiles with different perforation types





+ Fasteners in the valley

Eg : Corrugated sheets

Fasteners in the valley



Source: GRISPE WP2

Fasteners in the valley



Source: GRISPE WP2

Local buckling



🕂 Fasteners in the crest

Eg : Corrugated sheets



Source: GRISPE WP2

Local buckling at the fastening point



Eg : Liner trays



Liner tray and plank profile specific collapses

🕂 Assembling dislocation

Eg: Plank profiles



Source: GRISPE WP2



Source: GRISPE WP4





Mechanical model to take the local flange instability into account









(b) notional flat width b_p of plane parts of flanges

Source: EN 1993-1-3

(a) midpoint of corner or bend

X is intersection of midlines P is midpoint of corner

 $r_{\rm m}=r+t\,/\,2$





(c) notional flat width b_p for a web

 $(b_p = \text{slant height } s_w)$



(d) notional flat width b_p of plane parts adjacent to web stiffener



(e) notional flat width b_p of flat parts adjacent to flange stiffener



Required conditions to use the EN 1993-1-3



Source: table 5.1 of the EN 1993-1-3

$$D = \frac{Et^3}{12(1 - v^2)}$$
According to the plate theory, the deflection $w_{(x,y)}$
follows the fourth order differential equation :
$$\frac{\partial^4 w_{(x,y)}}{\partial x^4} + 2\frac{\partial^4 w_{(x,y)}}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^4 w_{(x,y)}}{\partial y^4} = -\frac{1}{D} \left(N_{xx} \frac{\partial^2 w_{(x,y)}}{\partial x^2} \right)$$

The corresponding solution is as follows:

$$w_{(x,y)} = A_{mn} sin\left(\frac{m\pi x}{a}\right) sin\left(\frac{n\pi y}{b}\right)$$

a and b dimensions in plane of the plate m, n : 1, 2 , 3 ...

If we put the expression of $w_{(x,y)}$ in the fourth order differential equation the result is:

$$\left[\left(\frac{m\pi}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^2\right]^2 = \frac{N_{xx}}{D}\left(\frac{m\pi}{a}\right)^2$$

+ In addition we have this equation of the normal effort $N_{\chi\chi}$:

$$N_{xx} = \frac{Da^2}{m^2 \pi^2} \left[\left(\frac{m\pi}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^2 \right]^2 = \sigma_{xx}t$$

 \bullet N_{xx} must be at its minimum value (use the derivative/m): n = 1

$$\frac{\partial N_{xx}}{\partial m} = \frac{\partial N_{cr}}{\partial m} = 2D \frac{\pi}{b^2} \left(m \frac{b}{a} + \frac{a}{mb} \right) \left(\frac{b}{a} - \frac{a}{m^2 b} \right) = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad m = \frac{a}{b}$$

 \bullet After simplification (replace m by a/b) , we obtain the following equation :

$$N_{cr} = 4\frac{D\pi^2}{b^2} = 4\frac{\pi^2 E t^3}{12(1-\nu^2)b^2}$$

 $lacksymbol{+}$ In addition we also have :

For uniform compression stresses on the plate

$$\sigma_{cr} = \frac{N_{cr}}{t} = 4 \frac{D\pi^2}{b^2 t} = 4 \frac{\pi^2 E t^3}{12(1-v^2)b^2 t} = 4 \frac{\pi^2 E}{12(1-v^2)b^2} \left(\frac{t}{b}\right)^2$$

$$\sigma_{cr} = k_{\sigma} \frac{\pi^2 E}{12(1-\nu^2)} \left(\frac{t}{b}\right)^2$$

Buckling critical stress

Effective width notion – Determination of ρ



+ From the following equation we are looking for b_{eff} :

$$\sigma_{cr(beff)} = f_y = k_\sigma \frac{\pi^2 E}{12(1-\nu^2)} \left(\frac{t}{b_{eff}}\right)^2$$

A proportionality exists between the critical stress and the (t/b_{eff})² ratio :

$$\sigma_{cr(beff)} = f_y = K \times \left(\frac{t}{b_{eff}}\right)^2$$


$$\bullet$$
 By dividing the critical stress by f_v we obtain :

$$\frac{\sigma_{cr(b)}}{f_y} = k_\sigma \frac{\pi^2 E}{12(1-\nu^2)} \left(\frac{t}{b}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{f_y}\right)$$

igstarrow By calculating the square root the above equation becomes :

$$\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{cr(b)}}{f_y}} = \left(k_\sigma \frac{\pi^2 E}{12(1-\nu^2)} \left(\frac{t}{b}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{f_y}\right)\right)^{1/2}$$

+ By multiplying by b the result is:

$$b\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{cr(b)}}{f_y}} = b\left(k_\sigma \frac{\pi^2 E}{12(1-v^2)} \left(\frac{t}{b}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{f_y}\right)\right)^{1/2}$$



+ The ratio between the critical stresses calculated for b_{eff} and b is :

$$\frac{\sigma_{cr(beff)}}{\sigma_{cr(b)}} = \frac{\left(\frac{t}{b_{eff}}\right)^2}{\left(\frac{t}{b}\right)^2} = \left(\frac{b}{b_{eff}}\right)^2$$

With $\sigma_{cr(beff)} = f_y$ and some mathematics, we obtain:

+ The reverse equation gives:

$$\frac{1}{b_{eff}} = \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{f_y}{\sigma_{cr(b)}} \right]^{1/2} = \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{12(1-v^2)}{k_\sigma \pi^2 E} \left(\frac{b}{t} \right)^2 (f_y) \right]^{1/2}$$

 \bullet By multiplying by b we obtain the ratio b/b_{eff}:

$$\frac{b}{b_{eff}} = \left[\frac{f_y}{\sigma_{cr(b)}} \right]^{1/2} = \left[\frac{12(1-\nu^2)}{k_{\sigma}\pi^2 E} \left(\frac{b}{t} \right)^2 (f_y) \right]^{1/2}$$
$$\frac{b}{b_{eff}} = \left[\frac{f_y}{\sigma_{cr(b)}} \right]^{1/2} = \frac{b}{t} \left[\frac{12(1-\nu^2)}{k_{\sigma}\pi^2 E} f_y \right]^{1/2}$$

How to determine the critical slenderness

+ From the ratio b/b_{eff} , we are looking for b_{eff} :

$$b_{eff} = b \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{cr(b)}}{f_y}} = b \sqrt{k_\sigma \frac{\pi^2 E}{12(1-\nu^2)} \left(\frac{t}{b_{eff}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{f_y}\right)}$$

With : $\sigma_{cr} = k_\sigma \frac{\pi^2 E}{12(1-\nu^2)} \left(\frac{t}{b}\right)^2$

We obtain the Eurocode formula of the critical slenderness :

$$\bar{\lambda}_{p} = \frac{b}{b_{eff}} = \left[\frac{f_{y}}{\sigma_{cr(b)}} \right]^{1/2} = \frac{b}{t} \left[\frac{12(1-v^{2})}{k_{\sigma}\pi^{2}E} f_{y} \right]^{1/2} = 1.051868492 \left(\frac{b}{t}\right) \sqrt{\frac{f_{y}}{Ek_{\sigma}}}$$

With $E = 210\ 000\ MPa$ and v = 0.3



We define:

$$\varepsilon = \sqrt{\frac{235}{f_y}}$$

$$f_y \times \varepsilon^2 = 235 \qquad f_y = \frac{235}{\varepsilon^2}$$

We introduce this result in the slenderness ratio calculated at the previous step :

$$\bar{\lambda}_{p} = \frac{b}{b_{eff}} = \left[\frac{f_{y}}{\sigma_{cr(b)}} \right]^{1/2} = \frac{b}{t} \left[\frac{12(1-\nu^{2})}{k_{\sigma}\pi^{2}E} f_{y} \right]^{1/2} = 1.051868492 \left(\frac{b}{t}\right) \sqrt{\frac{f_{y}}{Ek_{\sigma}}}$$

We obtain :

$$\bar{\lambda}_{p} = \frac{b}{b_{eff}} = 1.051868492 \left(\frac{b}{t}\right) \sqrt{\frac{235}{\varepsilon^{2} E k_{\sigma}}}$$
$$\bar{\lambda}_{p} = \frac{b}{b_{eff}} = 1.051868492 \left(\frac{b}{t}\right) \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \times 0.033452169 \sqrt{\frac{1}{k_{\sigma}}}$$

With E =210 000, MPa and v = 0.3



The final Eurocode formula of the critical slenderness

\sim How to determine the reduction factor (ρ)?

According to Von Karman (1910), The reduction factor ρ may be taken as follows :

When
$$\bar{\lambda}_p \leq 1 : \rho = 1$$

When $\bar{\lambda}_p > 1 : \rho = \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}_p}$

$$b_{eff} = \rho \overline{b}$$

According to Winter (1947),

The reduction factor ρ may be taken as follows :

$$\frac{b_{eff}}{b} = \frac{\sigma_{L(b)}}{f_y} = \left(1 - \frac{0.22}{\bar{\lambda}_p}\right) \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}_p} \le 1$$



Finally after several testing calibrations we obtain, at the end, the following formula :

$$(\sigma_{cr})_{eff} = \sigma_{cr} \left(\frac{\overline{b}}{b_{eff}}\right)^2 = f_y \qquad \frac{\overline{b}}{b_{eff}} = \rho = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{cr}}{f_y}}$$

where ρ is the reduction factor for plate buckling

The effective width for internal compression elements

At the end, the following table has to be applied in compliance with the EN 1993-1-5

Internal compression elements					
Stress distribution (compression positiv	Effective width b _{eff}				
$\sigma_1 \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $	$\psi = 1:$ $b_{eff} = \rho \overline{b}$ $b_{e1} = 0.5 \ b_{eff}$ $b_{e2} = 0.5 \ b_{eff}$				
$\sigma_1 \qquad \qquad$	$\frac{1 > \psi \ge 0}{b_{eff} = \rho \overline{b}};$ $b_{e1} = \frac{2}{5 - \psi} b_{eff} b_{e2} = b_{eff} - b_{e1}$				
$\sigma_1 \xrightarrow{b_c \qquad b_t \qquad \sigma_2} \sigma_2$	$\frac{\psi < 0:}{b_{eff} = \rho b_c = \rho \overline{b} / (1-\psi)}$ $b_{e1} = 0.4 \ b_{eff} \qquad b_{e2} = 0.6 \ b_{eff}$				
$\psi = \sigma_2 / \sigma_1 \qquad 1 > \psi > 0$	0	0>ψ>-1	-1	-1>ψ>-3	
Buckling factor k_{σ} 4,0 8,2 / (1,05 + ψ)	7,81	7,81 - 6,29ψ + 9,78ψ ²	23,9	5,98 (1 - ψ) ²	

Uniform compression of the considered flange Source: EN 1993-1-5 Wrinkler formula if $\psi = 1$



 ψ is the stress ratio determined in accordance with 4.4(3) and 4.4(4) b is the appropriate width as follows (for definitions, see Table 5.2 of EN 1993-1-1)

b_w for webs;

b for internal flange elements (except RHS);

b - 3 t for flanges of RHS;

c for outstand flanges;

h for equal-leg angles;

h for unequal-leg angles;

 k_{σ} is the buckling factor corresponding to the stress ratio ψ and boundary conditions. For long plates k_{σ} is

given in Table 4.1 or Table 4.2 as appropriate;

t is the thickness;

 $\sigma_{\rm cr}$ is the elastic critical plate buckling stress see Annex A.1(2).

Procedure to calculate b_{eff} (Internal compression elements)

$$b_{p} = b - 2g_{r}$$

$$g_{r} = r_{m}\left(tan\left(\frac{\phi}{2}\right) - sin\left(\frac{\phi}{2}\right)\right)$$

$$\overline{b} = b_{p}$$

$$\varepsilon = \sqrt{\frac{235}{f_{y}}}$$

$$\frac{\psi = \frac{\sigma_{2}}{\sigma_{1}} \frac{1}{1}}{\frac{Buckling}{coefficientk_{\sigma}}} A_{eff} = b_{eff}t \quad A_{c} = b \quad t$$

$$A_{c,eff} = \rho A_{c}$$

$$\rho = 1 \quad for \quad \bar{\lambda}_{p} \le 0.5 + \sqrt{0.085 - 0.055\psi}$$

$$\rho = \frac{\bar{\lambda}_{p} - 0.055(3 + \psi)}{\bar{\lambda}_{p}^{2}} \le 1 \quad for \quad \bar{\lambda}_{p} > 0.5 + \sqrt{0.085 - 0.055\psi}$$

The effective width for outstand compression elements

Oustand compression elements

Stress distribution (compression positive)				Effective width b _{eff}			
σ_2				$\frac{1 > \psi \ge 0}{b_{eff} = \rho c}$			
σ_2			$\frac{\psi < 0:}{b_{eff} = \rho b_c} = \rho c / (1-\psi)$				
$\psi = \sigma_2 / \sigma_1$		1)	-1	1≥ψ≥-3	
Buckling factor k	5	0,43	0,	57	0,85	0,57 - 0,21 ψ + 0,07ψ ²	
σ_1	*] σ₂ ∤		<u>1</u> > b _{ef}	<u>•ψ≥0</u> : _f =ρc		
σ_1			$\frac{\psi < 0:}{b_{eff} = \rho b_c} = \rho c / (1-\psi)$				
$\psi = \sigma_2 / \sigma_1$	1	1>ψ>0			0	0 > ψ > -1	-1
Buckling factor k_σ	0,43	0,578 / (ψ + 0,34)		,34)	1,70	$1,7 - 5\psi + 17,1\psi^2$	23,8

$$\rho = \frac{\bar{\lambda}_p - 0,188}{\bar{\lambda}_p^2} \le 1$$

$$\bar{\lambda}_{p} = \sqrt{\frac{f_{y}}{\sigma_{cr}}} = \frac{\bar{b}/t}{28,4\varepsilon\sqrt{k_{\sigma}}}$$

- ψ is the stress ratio determined in accordance with 4.4(3) and 4.4(4)
- b is the appropriate width as follows (for definitions, see Table 5.2 of EN 1993-1-1)
- b_w for webs;
- b for internal flange elements (except RHS);
- b 3 t for flanges of RHS;
- c for outstand flanges;
- h for equal-leg angles;
- h for unequal-leg angles;
- + k_{g} is the buckling factor corresponding to the stress ratio ψ and boundary conditions. For long plates k_{g} is
- given in Table 4.1 or Table 4.2 as appropriate;
- t is the thickness;
- σ_{cr} is the elastic critical plate buckling stress see Annex A.1(2).

Source: EN 1993-1-5

Procedure to calculate b_{eff} (outstand compression elements)



Plane elements with intermediate stiffeners





Mechanical model to take the local web instability into account

Effective cross-sections of webs of trapezoidal profiled sheets

The Eurocode provides the following method according to the number of stiffeners along the web:





In the case of a web without any stiffener:

 $\rm e_{c}$ is the distance from the effective centroidal axis to the system line of the compression flange

$$s_{eff,0} = 0.76 t \sqrt{E/(\gamma_{M0}\sigma_{com,Ed})}$$
$$s_{eff1} = s_{eff0}$$

$$s_{effn} = 1.5 \ s_{eff0}$$



Analysis of the web effective width

🕂 Method:

- 1. Determine the position of e_c with the effective flange in which the web is supposed to be fully effective
- 2. Compare $e_c / sin\phi$ with $seff_1 + seff_n$
- 3. Determine whether the web is effective or not : If $e_c / \sin \phi > \operatorname{seff}_1 + \operatorname{seff}_n$ Then the web is not fully effective If $e_c / \sin \phi < \operatorname{seff}_1 + \operatorname{seff}_n$ Then the web is fully effective
- 4. If the web is not fully effective, a part of it has to be removed according to the following formulas :

 $(e_c / sin \phi - (seff_1 + seff_n)) x t of the web (cross section)$

 $(s_{eff1} + (e_c / sin\phi - (seff_1 + seff_n))/2) * sin\phi$ (distance from the flange in compression)





Formula to determine the span bending resistance of the sheeting profile

Octor Contemporation of the effective section modulus W_{eff}

	l (mm) width	y (mm) / top flange	l x y (mm²)	l x y² (mm ₃)	h (mm) vertically	lh²/12
Sem sup eff plate	b _{eff}	0	0	0	0	0
Radius top	$r_m \phi_{(rad)}$	r _m (1-sin≬/∳)			0	0
Web totally effective	s _w	h _w /2			$S_w x \sin \phi$	
Radius inf	$r_m \phi_{(rad)}$	h _w -r _m (1-sin≬/∳)			0	0
Flange in tension	b _b /2-g _r -f	h _w			0	0
Σ	L11		≠ 1 Y11	R11		
b _{off}			F -			
Position of ec from the top flage						
S _w						
У	$e_c //y = \Sigma (I \times y)$	/ΣΙ				mm
b _b			г		,	
	Along the web	$(s_c = s_n) =$		(e _c / sin(Ø))-g _r		mm

Determination of the effective section modulus W_{eff}

Correction if the web is not fully effective :



Octorial content of the effective section modulus W_{eff}

+ For the trapezoidal profile we have :



A Rib

 h_w

With b_r the pitch of the profile :

$$W_{eff} = Min\left(\frac{I_{eff}}{z_c}; \frac{I_{eff}}{(h_w - z_c)}\right)$$



🕂 The bending resistance determined as follows:



Source: EN 1993-1-3

$$W_{eff} = Min\left(\frac{I_{eff}}{z_c}; \frac{I_{eff}}{(h_w - z_c)}\right)$$



Formula to determine the end support reaction and intermediate support reaction

Eurocode application conditions

- The clear distance c from the beating length for the support reaction or local load to a free end, see figure 6.9 (EN 1993-1-3), is at least 40 mm; the cross-section satisfies the following criteria:
 - $r/t \le 10...$ (6. 17 a)
 - $h_w/t \le 200 sin\phi...$ (6.17b)
 - $45^{\circ} \le \phi \le 90^{\circ}$... (6.17c)

🕂 Where:

- h_w is the web height between the midlines of the flanges;
- *r* is the internal radius of the corners;
- ϕ is the angle of the web relative to the flanges [degrees].



\bullet The local transverse resistance is:

$$R_{w,Rd} = \alpha t^2 \sqrt{f_{yb}E} \left(1 - 0.1\sqrt{\frac{r}{t}}\right) \left[0.5 + \sqrt{0.02 \, l_a/t}\right] (2.4 + (\phi/90)^2) / \gamma_{M1}$$



Source: EN 1993-1-3

 l_a is the effective bearing length for the relevant category, see (3); α is the coefficient for the relevant category, see

(3) The values of l_a and α should be obtained from (4) and (5) respectively. The maximum value for $l_a = 200$ mm. When the support is a cold-formed section with one web or round tube, for Ss should be taken a value of 10 mm. The relevant category (l or 2) should be based on the clear distance *e* between the local load and the nearest support, or the clear distance c from the support reaction or local load to a free end, see figure 6.9. (4) The value of the effective bearing length la should be obtained from the following:

a) For category 1 :

 $l_a = 10mm$ (6.19a)

b) For category 2

 $\beta_v \le 0.2$ $l_a = S_s$ (6.19b) $\beta_v \ge 0.3$ $l_a = 10mm$ (6.19c)

 $0.2 < \beta_{v} < 0.3$ Interpolate linearly the value of Ia for 0.2 and 0.3

- The following formula issued of tests apply :(5) The value of the coefficient *a* should be obtained from the following:
- a) for Category 1 :
- for sheeting profiles: $\alpha = 0,075 \dots$ (6.20a)
- for liner trays and hat sections: $\alpha = 0,057 \dots (6.20b)$
- b) for Category 2:
- for sheeting profiles: $\alpha = 0,15$... (6.20c)
- for liner trays and hat sections: $\alpha = 0,115 \dots (6.20d)$

Definition of the categories 1 and 2





Formula to determine the interaction between support bending and support reaction

Combined bending moment and local load or support reaction

+ The following formula applies:

$$\frac{M_{Ed}}{M_{c,Rd}} \le 1$$

$$F_{Ed}$$

$$\frac{T_{Ed}}{R_{w,Rd}} \le 1$$

$$\frac{M_{Ed}}{M_{c,Rd}} + \frac{F_{Ed}}{R_{w,Rd}} \le 1,25$$





Formula to determine the shear resistance of a sheeting



🕂 It should be determined from:

$$V_{b,Rd} = \frac{\frac{h_w}{\sin\phi} t f_{bv}}{\gamma_M}$$

$$\overline{\lambda}_{w} = 0.346 \frac{s_{w}}{t} \sqrt{\frac{f_{yb}}{E}}$$

where:

 f_{bv} is the shear strength considering buckling according to Table 6.1;

 h_w is the web height between the midlines of the flanges, see figure 5.1 (c);

 ϕ is the slope of the web relative to the flanges, see figure 6.5

Relative web slenderness	Web without stiffening at the	Web with stiffening		
	support	at the support (1)		
$\bar{\lambda}_w \le 0,83$	0,58 <i>f_{yb}</i>	0,58 <i>f_{yb}</i>		
$0,83 < \bar{\lambda}_w < 1,40$	$0,48f_{yb}/\bar{\lambda}_w$	$0,48f_{yb}/\bar{\lambda}_w$		
$\bar{\lambda}_w \ge 1,40$	$0,67 f_{yb}/\bar{\lambda}_w^2$	$0,48f_{yb}/\bar{\lambda}_w$		
(1) Stiffening at the support, such as cleats, arranged to prevent distortion of the web and designed to resist the support reaction				

Source: EN 1993-1-3



Designing a liner tray

Conditions required by the EN 1993-1-3 to design a liner tray

≤	t _{nom}	≤ 1,5 mm
\leq	b_{f}	≤ 60 mm
\leq	h	≤ 200 mm
\leq	b _u	≤ 600 mm
	I _a /b _u	\leq 10 mm ⁴ /mm
	<i>s</i> 1	≤ 1 000 mm
		$\leq t_{nom}$ $\leq b_{f}$ $\leq h$ $\leq b_{u}$ I_{a}/b_{u} s_{1}





Source: EN 1993-1-3







$$b_{u,eff} = \frac{53.3.10^{10} e_0^2 t^3 t_{eq}}{hLb_0^3}$$



 $b_{u,eff}$ the overall width of the wide flange;

 $e_{\rm o}$ the distance from the centroidal axis of the gross cross-section to the centroidal axis of the narrow flanges;

h the overall depth of the liner tray;

L the span of the liner tray;

 t_{eq} the equivalent thickness of the wide flange, given by:

 l_a the second moment of area of the wide flange, about its own centroid, see figure 10.9

$$M_{b,Rd} = 0.8\beta_b W_{eff,com} \times f_{yb} / \gamma_{M0}$$

But :

$$M_{b,Rd} \le 0.8 \beta_b W_{eff,t} \times f_{yb} / \gamma_{M0}$$
 (10.21)

with:



 S_1 is the longitudinal spacing of fasteners supplying lateral restraint to the narrow flanges, see figure 10.9



Source: EN 1993-1-3



Designing a perforated sheet

Perforation with a triangular pattern

\bullet The principle is to use a reduced thickness on the perforated area

(I) Perforated sheeting with the holes arranged in the shape of equilateral riangles may be designed by calculation, provided that the rules for non-perforated sheeting are modified by introducing the effective thicknesses given below.

NOTE: These calculation rules tend to rather conservative values. More economical solutions might he obtained from design assisted by testing, see Section 9.

(2) Provided that 0,2 \leq d/ $a \leq$ 0,9 gross section properties may be calculated but replacing t by t_{a.eff} obtained from:

 $t_{a,eff} = 1.18t \left(1 - 0.9 \frac{d}{a} \right) \quad (10.25)$

where:

d is the diameter of the perforations;

a is the spacing between the centres of the perforations.

Perforation with a triangular pattern

(3) Provided that $0,2 \le d / a \le 0,9$ effective section properties may be calculated using Section 5, but replacing *t* by t_{beff} obtained from:

 $t_{beff} = t\sqrt[3]{1,18(1-d/a)}...$ (10.26)

The resistance of a **single web to local transverse forces** may be calculated using 6.1.7], but replacing *t* by obtained from:

$$t_{c,eff} = t \left[1 - \left(\frac{d}{a}\right)^2 s_{per} / s_w \right]^{3/2} \dots (10.27)$$

where:

 s_{per} is the slant height of the perforated portion of the web;

 \boldsymbol{S}_{w} is the total slant height of the web.



Deflection


In all the cases, the maximum deflection of the profile calculated with the effective inertia must stay below the maximum accepted deflection as defined by the Eurocode.

It is possible to make calculations with several sections characterized by different effective inertia.



Other cases not covered by the Eurocode EN 1993-1-3



Topics not covered by the current version of the eurocode 1993-1-3

Decking embossment

- Outward stiffeners
- +Curved profiles with and without arch effect
- Assembled profiles on support
- +Corrugated profiles
- +Liner trays with $s_1 > 1m$
- Perforated profiles with a square patent perforation
- +Flange holed profiles

Plank profiles



Source: All WP GRISPE



Bibliography



- See state deliverable of the art of GRISPE Project
- EN 1993-1-3 (design cold formed elements)
- EN 1993-1-5 (Design effective width of cold formed elements)
- EN 1090-1 (CE marking of structural cold formed elements)
- EN 14782 (CE marking of non structural cold formed elements)
- EN 508-1 (Tolerance of the non structural cold formed element)
- EN 1090-4 (Tolerance of the structural cold formed element)
- EN 10143 (Tolerance of the raw material, coil)
- EN 10346 (metalic coating)
- EN 10169 (organic coating)
- *Review :L'enveloppe du bâtiment en acier réalisée à partir de plaques nervurées ou ondulées SNPPA*
- APK -ESDEP WG 9THIN-WALLED CONSTRUCTION Lecture 9.1: Thin-Walled Members and Sheeting Figure 7 manufacturing by cold rolling
- BIM of l'Envelope Métallique du Bâtiment
- All Deliverables WP1 WP2 WP3 WP4 GRISPE
- Slide work shop PPA Europe 22 23 October 2015
- Slide wok shop GRISPE 26 July 2016